

Remarks

Claims 1-42 are in the application. Claims 1, 26, and 42 are in independent form. Reconsideration is requested.

Independent claims 1, 26, and 42 have been amended to further clarify the subject matter of the claims. No new subject matter is added. A mobile information apparatus of the invention is described, for example at paragraphs [0047] and [0147] of the application. The separation and distinctness between the mobile information apparatus and the output device is illustrated in Fig. 1 and emphasized by the wireless communication link 116 between them. (Paragraphs [0045] and [0051].) Device dependent attributes or features are described in the application at paragraph [0031]-[0033], [0037], and [0137], for example. Compatibility between the output data and the output device with its attributes is referenced in original claim 17 and described in the application at paragraphs [0056], [0079], and [0083], for example.

Applicant is required to elect one species for examination from each of the following groups:

Group I:

Species A – claim 4

Species B – claim 20

Species C – claims 23 and 33

Group II:

Species D – claim 27

Species E – claim 28

Group III:

Species F – claim 38

Species G – claim 39

The Examiner has noted that “claims 1, 26, and 22” are generic to the species. Applicant believes that the reference to claims “claims 1, 26, and 22” includes a typographical error and is intended to refer to independent claims 1, 26, and 42. Applicants respond as follows accordingly.

Applicant elects without traverse Species A (claim 4) of Group I, Species E (claim 28) of Group II, and Species F (claim 38) of Group III.

The Examiner also requires identification of the claims that encompass the elected species.

With regard to Species A (claim 4) of Group I, applicant submits that independent claims 1, 26, and 42 are generic as noted by the Examiner. In addition, applicant submits that dependent claims 2, 3, 5-19, 24, 25, 27-32, and 34-41 are also generic. Upon allowance of any of these generic claims applicant will be entitled to consideration of non-elected claims 20, 23 and 33, and also claims 21 and 22, which relate to subject matter of the non-elected claims.

With regard to Species B (claim 28) of Group II, applicant submits that independent claims 1, 26, and 42 are generic as noted by the Examiner. In addition, applicant submits that dependent claims 2-19, 24, 25, 29-32, and 34-41 are generic to the elected species. Upon allowance of any of these generic claims applicant will be entitled to consideration of non-elected claim 27.

With regard to Species C (claim 38) of Group III, applicant submits that independent claims 1, 26, and 42 are generic as noted by the Examiner. In addition, applicant submits that dependent claims 2-19, 24, 25, 28-32, 34-37, 40, and 41 are generic to the elected species. Upon allowance of any of these generic claims applicant will be entitled to consideration of non-elected claim 39.

Applicant believes the application is in condition for allowance and respectfully requests the same.

IPSOLON LLP
111 SW COLUMBIA #710
PORTLAND, OREGON 97201
TEL. (503) 249-7066
FAX (503) 249-7068

Respectfully Submitted,

/Mark M. Meininger/

Mark M. Meininger
Registration No. 32,428